

Institute of Technology Sligo INSTITIÚID TEICNEOLAÍOCHTA SLIGEACH

PROGRAMME VALIDATION REPORT

Date of Evaluation: 20th November 2020

Programmes Evaluated: MEng in Geotechnical Engineering /Structural Engineering Joint programme leading to-

MEng in Geotechnical Engineering with Structural Engineering – 90 ECTS Full time L9 $\,$

MEng in Structural Engineering with Geotechnical Engineering – 90 ECTS Full time L9

Award title: Master of Engineering in Geotechnical Engineering with Structural Engineering

Master of Engineering in Structural Engineering with Geotechnical Engineering

Unique Programme SG_EGEOT_M09 leading to separate award codes To be confirmed

Reference Number PRN:

Panel of Assessors:

Name & title	Job title & place of work	Role on panel
Dr Breda McTaggart	Head of Department of Social Sciences	Chairperson
Mr Niall McEvoy	Head of Innovation, Institute of Technology	Panel member
	Sligo	
M Francis Fidgeon	Partner CST Group Chartered Consulting	Panel member
	Engineers	
Dr Aodhmar Cadogan	Assistant registrar, IT Sligo	Recording Secretary

Declaration Regarding Any Conflicts of Interest: The members of the Panel signed a form confirming that they did not have any conflict of interest.

Meeting groups

Institute Management: Trevor McSharry, Head of Department of Civil Engineering and Construction

Persons met by validation panel

Name & title	Role in Institute	Rationale for presence at validation.
Patrick Naughton	Lecturer	Programme Development Team
Tomas O'Flaherty	Lecturer	Programme team

Validation criteria	Sufficient evidence /Insufficient evidence				
 Rationale for the programme Philosophy underpinning the programme e.g. market for programme in the region and its relevance to the region Graduate profile and employment opportunities for graduates Rationale for the programme e.g. School's/Institute's strengths/opportunities Programme Aims and Objectives Expected intellectual development and Programme learning outcomes Related existing programmes. 	Sufficient Evidence Provided.				
 The panel welcomed the flexibility that the programme team showed in responding to the request from the International Office to provide current on-line programme to international students on a full time basis. The programme is currently running to an on-line cohort and the programme being considered here is a full time version of the programme adapted to full time delivery. Condition: None Recommendation: On page 4 of Vol One the Keys Skills section mentions 'The ability to apply creative problem-solving approaches to Geotechnical and Structural Engineering applications in line with national and European standards' In light of the international profile of potential students consider reviewing and broadening this statement. 					

Programme structure	Sufficient Evidence Provided.
 Delivery type (semesterised or stage-based) 	Intake planned for Sept 2021 if sufficient
Proposed mode of delivery full time	numbers register on the programme.
 Planned intake numbers (over the full duration of the programme) 	
Commendation: None	
Condition: None	
Recommendation: None	
Resources (over the full duration of the programme)	Sufficient Evidence Provided.
 Facilities and human and material resources available to mount the programme 	
Location of the delivery	
 Specific s requirements: lecture rooms, laboratories, library, Information 	
technology and other student supports	
 Confirmation regarding any new facilities and staffing requirements 	
 Special requirements (e.g. remote access for distance learners) 	
Commendation: None	
Condition: None	
Recommendation: None	
Access, Transfer and Progression Criteria	Sufficient Evidence Provided. Access routes
Student admission requirements	are clear and rational provided.
 Progression criteria from one stage to the next and to higher levels on the NFQ 	
 Non-standard entry (e.g. mature candidates and candidates with experiential learning) 	
5 ,	
Transfer policy into the programme and onto other programmes Commendation: None	
Condition: None	
Recommendation: None	
Curriculum	Sufficient Evidence Provided.
	Sumcient Evidence Provided.
 A matrix exhibiting the academic pathway and the relationship between modules 	

 The consistency between the programme content, teaching methods and the programme learning outcomes 	
Balance between the depth and breadth of the programme	
Rigour of the academic standard in the final stage of the programme	
Student workload	
 Practice: the role and management of placement or work-based projects. 	
Commendation: None	
Condition:	
Recommendation:	
2. Correct the formatting of the characters in the modules in AMM if possible e.g.	
Geotechnical Engineering II, Indicative syllabus point 5 / assessment strategy last	
paragraph and Literary resources.	
Assessment	Sufficient Evidence Provided. These modules
The appropriateness of the modes of assessment to be used	are already approved as part of on-line programme. The modules have been adapted
	to include both delivery types.
The balance between the marks awarded for different assessment modes (e.g.	to meduce both delivery types.
continuous assessment, projects, reports, sit-down examination)	
 Confirmation that all of the programme learning outcomes are appropriately and 	
adequately assessed within the set of module assessments.	
Commendation: None	
Condition: None	
Recommendation:	
3. In the teaching and learning strategy ensure that the statements address both full time	
and part time learners separately, or are adapted to more generic statements. See	
example in Geotechnical Engineering I.	
Staffing	Sufficient Evidence Provided.
 Quality and specialities of staff available to support the programme 	
Technical and administrative support	

Staff development	
 Industrial/commercial profile of staff 	
Research and publications	
Commendation: None	
Condition: None	
Recommendation: None	
Programme Administration and Quality Assurance	Sufficient Evidence Provided. QA procedure in
Dupondi wa fau manazina muaguaman	place provide the necessary structures.
Procedure for managing programme Challent and anti-standard account library and to travial a management and the standard account of the standard	
Student support student counselling and tutorial arrangements	
Aspects of programme which highlight and foster study skills, independent learning and	
the inculcation of individual responsibility in students	
EU and international aspects if appropriate	
Feedback mechanisms e.g. use of surveys, focus groups and follow-up actions.	
Commendation: None	
Condition: None	
Recommendation: None	
Overall decision of the panel	
The panel agreed to recommend to the Academic council the approval of the following full time program	mes:
MEng in Geotechnical Engineering with Structural Engineering	
MEng in Structural Engineering with Geotechnical Engineering	
Chairperson: Dr Breda McTaggart	
Date	

Secretary: Dr Aodhmar Cadogan

Hodhman Cadage		
	Date:27th Nov 2020	

Approved Programme Schedule - SG_EGEOT_M09 Master of Engineering in Geotechnical / Structural Engineering Joint Programme

Stage 5

Delivery	Code	Module Title	Level	Credit	M/E	IL	FT	FO	CA	PF	EXAM	PROJ	PRAC	Total
SEM 9	ENG09029	Geotechnical Engineering I	09	05	М	12.00	3.00	0	60	0	40	0	0	100
SEM 9	ENG09035	Design of Building Structures	09	05	М	12.00	3.00	0	0	0	40	60	0	100
SEM 9	ENG09031	Soil - Structure Interaction	09	05	М	12.00	3.00	0	60	0	40	0	0	100
SEM 9	ENG09030	Geotechnical Engineering II	09	05	М	12.00	3.00	0	60	0	40	0	0	100
SEM 9	ENG09018	Design of Bridge Structures	09	05	М	12.00	3.00	0	0	0	40	60	0	100
SEM 9	RSCH09030	Research Methods	09	05	М	13.00	2.00	0	100	0	0	0	0	100
SEM 10	RSCH09039	MEng Research Thesis, Mode 3 Full-time	09	60	М	1500.	003.00	0	40	0	0	60	0	100
		Credi	t Total	90										

Semesters Per Stage	Elective Rules Per Stage	Credits Required	Award Percentage
2	0	0	0

Key

M/E - Mandatory/Elective, FT - Full Time, FO - Formative, CA - Continuous Assessment, PF - Pass/Fail, EXAM - Final Exam, PROJ - Project, PRAC - Practical,